

## Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 STATE 267114  
ORIGIN IO-14

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 L-03 HA-05 AF-10 ARA-10  
EA-10 NEA-10 OIC-02 USIE-00 H-01 PRS-01 DODE-00  
INRE-00 PA-01 CIAE-00 INR-07 NSAE-00 SS-15 NSCE-00  
SP-02 JUSE-00 FBIE-00 /104 R

DRAFTED BY IO/HR:RFTAUBENFELD:L/UNA:DSTEWART

APPROVED BY IO:GADALLEY

HA:RMAXIM (SUBS)

-----004388 081833Z /46

O R 081757Z NOV 77  
FM SECSTATE WASHDC  
TO USMISSION USUN NEW YORK IMMEDIATE  
INFO AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE STATE 267114

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: UNGA, SOCI

SUBJECT: CRIME PREVENTION AND CONTROL: QUESTION OF CAPITAL  
PUNISHMENT, AGENDA ITEM 77

1. IN REFERENCE TO THE SWEDISH DRAFT RESOLUTION ON  
CAPITAL PUNISHMENT (A/C.3/32/L.21), THE WORDING IN  
OPERATIVE PARA 1: "WITH A VIEW TO THE DESIRABILITY OF  
ABOLISHING THIS PUNISHMENT" PRESENTS SOME PROBLEMS FOR  
THE USG.

2. AT THE FEDERAL LEVEL IN THE U.S. THE TREND HAS BEEN  
OVER THE YEARS TO PROGRESSIVELY RESTRICT THE APPLICATION  
OF THE DEATH PENALTY TO MURDER OF VARIOUS SERIOUS TYPES.  
WE HAVE BEEN UNOFFICIALLY INFORMED BY JUSTICE DEPARTMENT  
THAT THE ISSUE OF THE SCOPE OF CAPITAL PUNISHMENT FOR  
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 STATE 267114

FEDERAL CRIMES IS UNDER REVIEW AT THE PRESIDENTIAL LEVEL  
NOW. IT CANNOT BE EXPECTED, HOWEVER, THAT CAPITAL PUNISH-  
MENT WILL BE ELIMINATED ENTIRELY SINCE THE PRESIDENT AND  
THE ATTORNEY GENERAL HAVE BOTH STATED THAT IN SOME  
(EXTREME) CASES THEY FAVOR CAPITAL PUNISHMENT.

3. MOREOVER, THE SUPREME COURT DECIDED IN 1976 THAT

CAPITAL PUNISHMENT IS NOT UNCONSTITUTIONAL AS "CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT." ACCORDINGLY, CAPITAL PUNISHMENT MAY

LAWFULLY BE APPLIED IF OTHER CONSTITUTIONAL REQUIREMENTS ARE MET (E.G., PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS, NON-DISCRIMINATORY APPLICATION, DUE CONSIDERATION OF THE INDIVIDUAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE OFFENDER). JUSTICE ADVISES INFORMALLY THAT WHILE FEDERAL LAW CURRENTLY PERMITS CAPITAL PUNISHMENT FOR SEVERAL OFFENSES (INCLUDING CERTAIN TYPES OF MURDER AND KIDNAPPING, AS WELL AS TREASON), IN ITS OPINION THE STATUTE COVERING MURDER DURING A HIGHJACKING IS THE ONLY ONE THE SUPREME COURT WOULD NOW FIND CONSTITUTIONAL AS WRITTEN. SOME 35 STATES HAVE DEATH PENALTY PROVISIONS WHICH WOULD PROBABLY PASS CONSTITUTIONAL MUSTER.

4. AT THIS POINT, THEREFORE, IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT FOR USG AFFIRMATIVELY TO SUPPORT CALL FOR ABOLITION OF DEATH PENALTY. HOWEVER, USG CAN ENDORSE OVERALL OBJECTIVE OF PROGRESSIVELY RESTRICTING THE NUMBER OF OFFENSES FOR WHICH THE DEATH PENALTY MIGHT BE IMPOSED.

5. USG IS VERY CONCERNED THAT THE HUMAN RIGHTS OF THE CONDEMNED BE FULLY PROTECTED, INCLUDING THE EFFECTIVE RIGHTS OF CONDEMNED PERSONS "TO PETITION FOR PARDON, COMMUTATION OF REPRIEVE," AS IN THE WORDING USED IN LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 STATE 267114

OPERATIVE PARA 2. IT IS ALL THE MORE IMPORTANT THAT EFFECTIVE PROVISION OF THESE TYPES OF GUARANTEES BE ACHIEVED NOW BECAUSE IT CANNOT BE EXPECTED THAT RESORT TO CAPITAL PUNISHMENT WILL BE TOTALLY ELIMINATED IN THE VERY NEAR FUTURE. IN VIEW OF SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED BY U.S. CONSTITUTION AND SUPREME COURT RULINGS, WE CAN (AND SHOULD) STRONGLY SUPPORT THIS EFFORT.

6. USG WOULD JOIN IN THE CALL FOR DISCUSSION OF THE VARIOUS ASPECTS OF CAPITAL PUNISHMENT BY THE 6TH UN CONGRESS ON THE PREVENTION OF CRIME AND THE TREATMENT OF OFFENDERS.

7. IN SHORT, WE COULD SUPPORT DRAFT IF OPERATIVE PARA 1 WERE DROPPED OR MODIFIED SO AS TO READ "WITH A VIEW TO ASSURING THE REGULAR REVIEW BY THE NATIONS OF THE POSSIBILITY OF REDUCING THE USE OF THIS PUNISHMENT." ALTERNATIVELY, WE COULD GO ALONG WITH A SIMPLE CHANGE FROM "ABOLISHING" TO "REDUCING TO A MINIMUM THE USE OF."

8. IF NO ACCEPTABLE MODIFICATION CAN BE ACHIEVED, DEL SHOULD ABSTAIN IN VOTE WITH EXPLANATION OF U.S. POSITION

ALONG THE LINES INDICATED ABOVE. MOREOVER, IF CONSENSUS UNAVOIDABLE, DEL MAY JOIN WITH APPROPRIATE STATEMENT INDICATING IN LOW KEY USG INABILITY TO SUBSCRIBE TO ABOLITION OF DEATH PENALTY IN LIGHT OF OUR CURRENT

NATIONAL POLICIES AND STRONGLY EMPHASIZING USG CONCERN FOR HUMAN RIGHTS ISSUES. IN ANY CASE, THE LIMITATIONS OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S JURISDICTION ON THIS ISSUE IN OUR FEDERAL SYSTEM SHOULD BE CLARIFIED BY THE U.S. DELEGATION. VANCE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptoning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** CRIMES, CAPITAL PUNISHMENT, MEETING AGENDA, PENAL SYSTEM, CRIME PREVENTION, INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Sent Date:** 08-Nov-1977 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Date:** 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 22 May 2009  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1977STATE267114  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** RFTAUBENFELD:L/UNA:DSTEWART  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D770412-0631  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1977/newtext/t19771182/aaaacsqw.tel  
**Line Count:** 125  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** 552b461f-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ORIGIN IO  
**Original Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 02-Mar-2005 12:00:00 am  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 671016  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** CRIME PREVENTION AND CONTROL: QUESTION OF CAPITAL PUNISHMENT, AGENDA ITEM 77  
**TAGS:** SOCI, PORG, UNGA  
**To:** USUN NEW YORK  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvgwkey:** odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/552b461f-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
22 May 2009  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009